

Computational identification of novel Leukotriene A4 Hydrolase (LTA4H) inhibitors as therapeutic candidates for colorectal cancer

Raje Siddiraju Upendra^{*}, P. Hariprasad, Karun Chakki, Preetham R.S., Varun P. Kulkarni, Sanjay Shrinivas Nagar, R. Karthik

School of Electronics and Communication Engineering, REVA University, Bengaluru, Karnataka. India.

Submitted on: 12-Nov-2024, Accepted and Published on: 17-Mar-2025 Article ABSTRACT WW < 500 Da CLogP < 5In recent years cancer cases have been increasing and according to H-bond donor < 5 H-bond acceptor < 10 the World Cancer Research Fund International, approximately 70,038 cancer cases were reported in India. There is a crucial need for the Among 1000 molecules, 70.038 cases and 40,993 Leukotriene A4 hydrolase 875 molecules passed the development of potential medications for the treatment of cancer (LTA4H) selected as deaths were reported in Lipinski rule of five India due to cancer target protein patients. Among other target proteins, Leukotriene A4 hydrolase (LTA4H) is the target protein that plays a crucial role in the synthesis Compound Docking Results of leukotrienes. Leukotrienes belong to the inflammatory lipid (3-[butan-2-y]-[2-(3pkCSM, mediators associated with colorectal cancer. The present study aims methylphenoxy)ethyl]a (3-[butan-2-v]-[2-(3-SwissADME, to explore potential inhibitors against the target protein LTA4H. methylphenoxy)ethyl]a mino]propanoicacid AdmetSAR mino|propanoicacid and Among 1000 molecules (PubChem), 875 molecules passed the can be further Bestatin ong 875 molecules, 47 Lipinski rule of five and were further evaluated for ADMET analysis. developed as novel molecules passed the drug to treat colon Docking Score: -8.8 and ADMET analysis indicated that 47 molecules out of 875 molecules ADMET test cancer -7.4 kcal/mol were safe for human consumption and further docked with LTA4H

through the PyRx software. Among the 47 ligand molecules assessed, the ligand molecule (3-[butan-2-yl-[2-(3-methyl)ethyl]propanoic acid showed a better docking score of -8.8 kcal/mol and formed 07 bonds with amino acids of target protein LTA4H. Meanwhile, the standard drug Bestatin displayed a docking score of -7.4 kcal/mol and formed 05 bonds with amino acids of target protein LTA4H. Hence the compound (3-[butan-2-yl-[2-(3-methylphenoxy)ethyl]amino]propanoicacid can be developed as the anticancer drug for colorectal cancer.

Keywords: Colorectal_cancer, Leukotriene_A4_hydrolase, ADMET, Molecular_docking, Bestatin.

INTRODUCTION

The human body is a complex system composed of trillions of cells that work together to maintain the health and well-being of the individual. These cells undergo a systematically regulated process of growth and division to ensure proper functioning and renewal of tissues. This intricate process is essential for maintaining homeostasis and supporting vital bodily functions. However, sometimes this carefully orchestrated process can go awry.¹ Uncontrolled cell proliferation, characterized by abnormal growth and division, can lead to cancer development. A significant feature

Cite as: J. Integr. Sci. Technol., 2025, 13(5), 1115. URN:NBN:sciencein.jist.2025.v13.1115 DOI:10.62110/sciencein.jist.2025.v13.1115



of cancer is the uncontrolled growth and spread of abnormal cells that can invade and destroy healthy cells². There are numerous types of cancer, each affecting different organs and tissues in the human body³. One of the prevalent and concerning types of cancer studied is colorectal cancer. Colorectal cancer originates in the colon or rectum, located in the large intestine and it is responsible for toxic and waste elimination from the human system. Colorectal cancer remains a significant public health concern globally. According to the World Health Organization (WHO), colon cancer is the second leading cause of cancer-related deaths worldwide. WCRF statistics indicated that worldwide 1,926,425 cases and 904,019 deaths were reported due to colorectal cancer in the year 2022.⁴ In the present study, the target protein selected for finding novel anticancer drug molecules was LTA4H (3U9W)⁵. LTA4H plays a crucial role in the biosynthesis of leukotrienes, which are inflammatory lipid mediators associated with various diseases, including colorectal cancer. Inhibition of target protein LTA4H disrupts the production of leukotrienes and potentially hinders the

^{*}Corresponding Author: Dr. Raje Siddiraju Upendra Tel: +91-96111 39872; Email: upendra.rs@reva.edu.in

cancer cell growth and progression. Current treatment options, including surgery, chemotherapy, vaccines,⁶ and radiation therapy,⁷ are accompanied by severe side effects and limited efficacy. Therefore, there is a pressing need for the development of novel effective therapeutic strategies. Figure 1 represents projected mortality rates (in thousands) for males and females from 2022 to 2050 for colorectal cancer.



scale³.

The graph displays an upward trend in the mortality rates for both genders across the years, with consistently higher rates observed in males in comparison with females. These projections underscore the need to monitor gender-specific health trends and tailor public health strategies to address symptoms and improve the condition of the patients. The target protein LTA4H is selected due to its role in inflammation and immune response, which are key factors in the development and progression of cancer disease. LTA4H is involved in the leukotriene pathway, which can influence tumor growth, angiogenesis, and metastasis^{8,9}.

| | Table | 1. Mechanism | of target | protein | LTA4H in | colorectal | cancer ⁴ |
|--|-------|--------------|-----------|---------|----------|------------|---------------------|
|--|-------|--------------|-----------|---------|----------|------------|---------------------|

| Protein | Protein | Inhibition | Novelty |
|---------|----------------|--------------------|-------------------|
| Name | Function | | - |
| LTA4H | Bifunctional | Targeting | Discovery of |
| (3U9W) | Zinc Enzyme: | LTA4H for | LTA4H's role in |
| | Epoxide | prevention and | LTB4 |
| | Hydrolase and | control in | production in |
| | Aminopeptidase | colorectal | colon cancer |
| | | cancer; Potential | cells; Structural |
| | | therapeutic target | insights into the |
| | | with unique dual | binding site |
| | | enzymatic | pave the way |
| | | activities. | for drug |
| | | Structural | development |
| | | insights offer a | targeting |
| | | foundation for | LTA4H. |
| | | drug design. | |

With the inhibition of the LTA4H, it is possible to reduce chronic inflammation, and potentially slow cancer progression. Targeting LTA4H offers a novel therapeutic approach against colorectal cancer and improves patient outcomes. Figure 2 Represents how LTA4H, a key enzyme in leukotriene metabolism, plays a vital role in colon cancer progression¹⁰. Initially, it catalyzes the conversion of LTA4 to LTB4, triggering inflammation within the colon cell.

This inflammatory milieu, fueled by LTB4 and other mediators, fosters DNA damage, cell proliferation, and tumor initiation and progression. LTA4H also exerts profound effects on immune regulation by modulating the activity of various immune cells, leading to the suppression of anti-tumor immunity and the promotion of pro-tumor inflammation, further fueling tumor growth. Additionally, LTA4H-mediated production of LTB4 stimulates angiogenesis and facilitates the formation of new blood vessels that support tumor growth and metastasis (Table 1)¹¹.



Figure 2. Function of LTA4H enzyme in colon cancer development

Due to the complex structure of the target protein, it is important to analyze the structure properly to discover the drugs from natural sources such as Epiphyllum oxypetalum¹², fungal species¹³, Toddalia asiatica¹⁴, Monascus ruber¹⁵ could be explored as anticancer drugs for colorectal cancer. The study conducted by Saputra et al. 2021, employed insilco molecular docking to investigate the potential of quercetin as the potential drug against colorectal cancer with LTA4H as the target protein. Their findings suggested that quercetin exhibited promise in inhibiting LTA4H, indicating its potential as a therapeutic agent against colorectal cancer⁵. In 2021, Gupta and Jain focused on bio-isosteric modification of the compound 4-cyano-3-(trifluoromethyl)phenyl in enzalutamide using MolOpt. They conducted screening for pharmacokinetic and toxicological properties with ADMET Lab 2.0 and performed molecular docking with Auto Dock Vina 1.5.6. Authors developed novel analogs of enzalutamide with potential improvements in pharmacokinetics and reduced toxicity. Molecular docking further revealed promising binding affinities of the analogs with the androgen receptor, providing insights into potential advancements in prostate cancer therapy¹⁶. Hence there is a need to discover potential novel compounds to fight against colorectal cancer.

MATERIALS AND METHODOLOGY

Optimization of Query Molecule Structures

The optimization of query molecule structures commenced with the selection of Bestatin as the query molecule from a published research paper¹⁷. The publicly available PubChem database was used for the similarity search to find compounds similar to Bestatin. All the molecules obtained from search results were screened according to Lipinski's rule of five (i.e.: Molecular weight > 500, Hydrogen bond donors > 5, Hydrogen bond acceptor > 10, Log p value > 5). All the optimized query molecules were finalized and saved as the CSV file for drug-likeness and ADMET test¹⁸.

Drug likeness and ADMET analysis of chemical compounds

SwissADME and ADMET LAB 2.0 web servers were used for performing drug likeliness and ADMET analysis.^{19,20} The isosmiles of the molecules clearing Lipinski's rule of five were provided as the input to both SwissADME and ADMET LAB 2.0²¹ for druglikeness and ADMET tests. At the end of the analysis, the results provided by SwissADME²² and ADMET LAB 2.0 were saved as a CSV file for further analysis. Compounds were screened for properties such as Hydrogen bond acceptor (less than 10), Hydrogen bond donor (less than 5), RO5 violation (0 violation), Human intestinal absorption (HIA) (High), Caco-2 permeability (Permeable), Blood-Brain Barrier (BBB) (Non-permeable), permeability (0 to 0.3) and Protein Plasma Binding (PPB), CYP inhibition (Non-inhibitor), CYP-substrate (Non-substrate), clearance (CL), half-life (T12), P-glycoprotein inhibition (Pgp-inh) and substrate status (Pgp-sub), helps in evaluating the potential side effects and safety of the drugs inside the human body. Compounds displaying both drug likeliness and ADMET within the accepted range are further selected for molecular docking against the target protein LTA4H²³.

Preparation of target protein LTA4H structure and ligand structures for molecular docking

LTA4H protein structure (3U9W)²⁴ is prepared using Chimera X software²⁵. The 3D structure of LTA4H is imported and all the nonstandard residues are selected using the "select" option from the dropdown menu. Further nonstandard residues such as water molecules and negative ligands were removed from the protein structure using the "Atoms" option of the action's menu. After the removal of unwanted residues "Dock Prep" option was availed to add both hydrogens and charges to the protein structure and the final file was saved in PDBQT format for the molecular docking process. The SDF structures of ligand molecules were retrieved from PubChem and converted into PDBQT format through the OpenBabel package. The final protein and ligand structure were processed as input for the molecular docking process²⁶.

Molecular Docking between selected Ligand Compounds and Protein LTA4H

The open-source AutoDock Vina-based PyRx software²⁷ was used for the molecular docking process. The prepared protein molecule was loaded into the PyRx software and the "AutoDock" method was chosen from the menu of PyRx software. After loading the protein structure, the protein was prepared using the "Make Macromolecule" option. After choosing "Insert New Item" all the selected ligands were loaded into PyRx software to initiate the docking process. After uploading, all the selected ligand molecules were converted from SDF to PDBQT format. After loading both ligand and protein molecules, search space was set to define the grid box dimensions (x = 55.35 Å, y = 92.42 Å, z = 71.99 Å) with a centered grid (x = 35.08 Å, y = 4.94 Å, z = 2.97 Å) in PyRx software. Finally, docking was performed for all the ligand molecules against protein LT4AH using the "Forward button" present in the docking menu²⁸.

Analysis of protein-ligand interaction of docked complex structures

At the end of the docking process, the results were analyzed and the molecule that showed the best docking score in comparison with the standard drug Bestatin was provided as input to Discovery Studio Visualizer²⁸ and PyMOL software²⁹ for the protein-ligand interaction analysis. The protein-ligand complex files are generated through Discovery Studio Visualizer and PyMOL to analyze the amino acids interacting with the ligand molecule in both 2D and 3D formats.

RESULTS AND DISCUSSION

Optimization of Query Molecule Structures

At the end of PubChem screening, 1000 molecules similar to query molecule Bestatin were obtained, and these molecules were further filtered through Lipinski's rule of five. Among 1000 molecules evaluated, 875 molecules cleared Lipinski's rule of five, and data related to these molecules was stored in both CSV and SDF format. The SMILE format of these molecules was further provided as input for drug likeliness and ADMET analysis.

Drug likeness and ADMET analysis of chemical compounds

Table 2 obtained as output from SwissADME provides key parameters to assess the potential of a compound as a drug. Molecular weight (MW) influences the compound's solubility and absorption in the body. Hydrogen bond acceptors (HBA) and hydrogen bond donors (HBD) measure the ability of the compound to form hydrogen bonds, affecting its interactions with biological targets. Total Polar Surface Area (TPSA) is an indicator of a molecule's polarity and its ability to permeate cell membranes. The number of rotatable bonds shows the molecule's flexibility, which can influence its ability to bind effectively to targets. Rule of Five (RO5) violations assess how well a compound adheres to established drug-likeness criteria. Lead likeness violations evaluate how closely the compound aligns with characteristics common in lead-like molecules, useful as starting points in drug development. Table 3 shows the ADMET analysis which evaluates a compound's absorption, distribution, and metabolism, indicating how well a drug is absorbed. The distribution excretion, and toxicity. Absorption involves Human Intestinal Absorption (HIA) and Caco-2 permeability, including blood-brain barrier (BBB) permeability and protein plasma binding (PPB), affecting drug delivery to tissues. Metabolism assesses enzyme interactions such as CYP inhibition and substrate status, impacting how the body processes the drug. Excretion measures clearance (CL) and half-life (T12), determining how quickly a drug is eliminated. Toxicity predictions, such as P-glycoprotein inhibition and substrate help to evaluate the potential side effects and safety of drugs on the human body. Among 875 molecules, 47 ligand molecules cleared both druglikeness and ADMET tests and these molecules were selected as the ligand molecules for the molecular docking process.

Table 2. Drug likeness prediction results for selected ligands

| Sl | Compound Name | MW | HBA | HBD | TPSA | Rotatable | RO5 | Lead |
|----|---|--------|-----|-----|-------|-----------|-----------|------------------------|
| No | | | | | | bonds | violation | likeness Violations |
| 1 | 3-[3-[3-(Hydroxymethyl)phenoxy]propyl-methylamino]- 4,4-dimethylpentanoic acid | 323.43 | 5 | 2 | 70 | 10 | 0 | 1 |
| 2 | 3-[3-(3-Methylphenoxy)propylamino]propanoic acid | 237.29 | 4 | 2 | 58.56 | 8 | 0 | 2 |
| 3 | 2-methyl-3-[2-(3-methylphenoxy)ethylamino]propanoic acid | 237.29 | 4 | 2 | 58.56 | 7 | 0 | 1 |
| 4 | 3-[3-(3,5-dimethylphenoxy)propanoyl- ethylamino]propanoic acid | 293.36 | 4 | 1 | 66.84 | 9 | 0 | 1 |
| 5 | 3-[ethyl-[4-(3-methylphenoxy)butanoyl]amino]propanoic acid | 293.36 | 4 | 1 | 66.84 | 10 | 0 | 1 |
| 6 | 3-[ethyl-[3-(3-methylphenoxy)propyl]amino]butanoic acid | 279.37 | 4 | 1 | 49.77 | 9 | 0 | 1 |
| 7 | 3-[2-(3,5-dimethylphenoxy)ethyl-ethylamino]butanoic acid | 279.37 | 4 | 1 | 49.77 | 8 | 0 | 1 |
| 8 | 3-[ethyl-[2-(3-methylphenoxy)ethyl]amino]butanoic acid | 265.35 | 4 | 1 | 49.77 | 8 | 0 | 1 |
| 9 | 3-[(3-ethoxyphenyl)methyl-propan-2-ylamino]propanoic acid | 265.35 | 4 | 1 | 49.77 | 8 | 0 | 1 |
| 10 | 3-[propan-2-yl-[(3-propan-2- yloxyphenyl)methyl]amino]propanoic acid | 279.37 | 4 | 1 | 49.77 | 8 | 0 | 1 |
| 11 | 3-[propan-2-yl-[(3- propoxyphenyl)methyl]amino]propanoic acid | 279.37 | 4 | 1 | 49.77 | 9 | 0 | 1 |
| 12 | 3-[(3-ethoxyphenyl)methyl-ethylamino]butanoic acid | 265.35 | 4 | 1 | 49.77 | 8 | 0 | 1 |
| 13 | 3-[ethyl-[(3-propoxyphenyl)methyl]amino]butanoic acid | 279.37 | 4 | 1 | 49.77 | 9 | 0 | 1 |
| 14 | 3-[ethyl-[(3-propan-2-yloxyphenyl)methyl]amino]butanoic acid | 279.37 | 4 | 1 | 49.77 | 8 | 0 | 1 |
| 15 | 3-[ethyl-[(3-propoxyphenyl)methyl]amino]propanoic acid | 265.35 | 4 | 1 | 49.77 | 9 | 0 | 1 |
| 16 | 3-[(3-ethoxyphenyl)methyl-ethylamino]propanoic acid | | 4 | 1 | 49.77 | 8 | 0 | 1 |
| 17 | 3-[ethyl-[(3-propan-2- yloxyphenyl)methyl]amino]propanoic acid | 265.35 | 4 | 1 | 49.77 | 8 | 0 | 1 |
| 18 | 3-[methyl-[(3-phenoxyphenyl)methyl]amino]propanoic acid | 285.34 | 4 | 1 | 49.77 | 7 | 0 | 0 |
| 19 | 2-[3-(3,5-dimethylphenoxy)propyl-prop-2- enylamino]acetic acid | 277.36 | 4 | 1 | 49.77 | 9 | 0 | 1 |
| 20 | 2-[2-(3-methylphenoxy)ethyl-prop-2-enylamino]acetic acid | 249.31 | 4 | 1 | 49.77 | 8 | 0 | 2 |
| 21 | 2-[3-(3-methylphenoxy)propyl-prop-2-enylamino]acetic acid | 263.33 | 4 | 1 | 49.77 | 9 | 0 | 1 |
| 22 | 2,2-dimethyl-3-[2-(3- methylphenoxy)ethylamino]propanoic acid | 251.32 | 4 | 2 | 58.56 | 7 | 0 | 0 |
| 23 | 3-[4-(3-methylphenoxy)butanoyl-propan-2- ylamino]propanoic acid | 307.38 | 4 | 1 | 66.84 | 10 | 0 | 1 |
| 24 | 3-[3-[3-(2-methylpropoxy)phenyl]propylamino]propanoic acid | 279.37 | 4 | 2 | 58.56 | 10 | 0 | 1 |
| 25 | 3-[[(E)-3-[4-(4-methylpentoxy)phenyl]prop-2- enyl]amino]propanoic acid | 305.41 | 4 | 2 | 58.56 | 11 | 0 | 1 |
| 26 | 3-[3-(3,5-dimethylphenoxy)propylamino]propanoic acid | 251.32 | 4 | 2 | 58.56 | 8 | 0 | 1 |
| 27 | 3-[2-(3-methylphenoxy)ethylamino]propanoic acid | 223.27 | 4 | 2 | 58.56 | 7 | 0 | 1 |
| 28 | 3-[2-(4-methylphenoxy)ethyl-propan-2-ylamino]propanoic acid | 265.35 | 4 | 1 | 49.77 | 8 | 0 | 1 |
| 29 | 3-[2-(3-methylphenoxy)ethyl-propan-2-ylamino]propanoic acid | 265.35 | 4 | 1 | 49.77 | 8 | 0 | 1 |
| 30 | 4-[2-(3-methylphenoxy)ethyl-propan-2-ylamino]butanoic acid | 279.37 | 4 | 1 | 49.77 | 9 | 0 | 1 |

| 31 | 2-[butan-2-yl-[3-(3-methylphenoxy)propyl]amino]acetic acid | 279.37 | 4 | 1 | 49.77 | 9 | 0 | 1 |
|----|--|--------|---|---|-------|----|---|---|
| 32 | 2-[3-(3-methylphenoxy)propyl-propan-2-ylamino]acetic acid | 265.35 | 4 | 1 | 49.77 | 8 | 0 | 1 |
| 33 | 3-[3-(3-methylphenoxy)propyl-propan-2- ylamino]propanoic acid | 279.37 | 4 | 1 | 49.77 | 9 | 0 | 1 |
| 34 | 3-[cyclopropyl-[3-(3- methylphenoxy)propanoyl]amino]propanoic acid | 291.34 | 4 | 1 | 66.84 | 9 | 0 | 1 |
| 35 | 3-[butan-2-yl-[2-(3-methylphenoxy)ethyl]amino]propanoic acid | 279.37 | 4 | 1 | 49.77 | 9 | 0 | 1 |
| 36 | 3-[carboxymethyl-[2-(3- methylphenoxy)ethyl]amino]propanoic acid | 281.3 | 6 | 2 | 87.07 | 9 | 0 | 1 |
| 37 | 3-[2-(3-methylphenoxy)ethyl-prop-2-enylamino]propanoic acid | 263.33 | 4 | 1 | 49.77 | 9 | 0 | 1 |
| 38 | 3-[butan-2-yl-[2-(4-methylphenoxy)ethyl]amino]propanoic acid | 279.37 | 4 | 1 | 49.77 | 9 | 0 | 1 |
| 39 | 3-[2-(4-methylphenoxy)ethyl-prop-2-enylamino]propanoic acid | 263.33 | 4 | 1 | 49.77 | 9 | 0 | 1 |
| 40 | 3-[3-(4-methylphenoxy)propyl-propan-2- ylamino]propanoic acid | 279.37 | 4 | 1 | 49.77 | 9 | 0 | 1 |
| 41 | 3-[3-(4-methylphenoxy)propyl-prop-2- enylamino]propanoic acid | 277.36 | 4 | 1 | 49.77 | 10 | 0 | 1 |
| 42 | 3-[3-(3-methylphenoxy)propyl-prop-2- enylamino]propanoic acid | 277.36 | 4 | 1 | 49.77 | 10 | 0 | 1 |
| 43 | 3-[methyl-[1-(3-propoxyphenyl)butan-2- yl]amino]propanoic acid | 293.4 | 4 | 1 | 49.77 | 10 | 0 | 1 |
| 44 | 3-[1-(3-ethoxyphenyl)propan-2-yl-methylamino]propanoic acid | 265.35 | 4 | 1 | 49.77 | 8 | 0 | 1 |
| 45 | 3-[methyl-[1-(3-propoxyphenyl)propan-2- yl]amino]propanoic acid | 279.37 | 4 | 1 | 49.77 | 9 | 0 | 1 |
| 46 | 3-[methyl-[1-(3-propan-2-yloxyphenyl)propan-2- yl]amino]propanoic acid | 279.37 | 4 | 1 | 49.77 | 8 | 0 | 1 |
| 47 | (E)-3-[3-[2-[ethyl(3- hydroxypropyl)amino]ethoxy]phenyl]prop-2-enoic acid | 293.36 | 5 | 2 | 70 | 10 | 0 | 1 |

Table 3. ADMET Screening for selected Ligands

| Compound | Absorption | | | Distribution | | | | Metabolism | | Elimination | |
|-----------|-------------|-------------|-------|--------------|-------|-------|-------|-------------|---------|-------------|-------|
| CID | Pgp- inh | Pgp- sub | HIA | Caco-2 | BBB | PPB | VDss | CYP- inh | CYP-sub | CL | T12 |
| 10860416 | 0 | 0.002 | 0.016 | -5.46 | 0.244 | 86.08 | 1.301 | 0.301 | 0.19 | 9.916 | 0.797 |
| 10425734 | 0 | 0.002 | 0.022 | -5.482 | 0.209 | 81.81 | 1.365 | 0.275 | 0.149 | 10.641 | 0.807 |
| 54303570 | 0.017 | 0.005 | 0.921 | -5.34 | 0.241 | 44.47 | 3.103 | 0.222 | 0.677 | 7.504 | 0.943 |
| 23121697 | 0.001 | 0.003 | 0.093 | -5.345 | 0.167 | 88.1 | 0.873 | 0.488 | 0.156 | 12.056 | 0.84 |
| 60833297 | 0.001 | 0.01 | 0.005 | -4.924 | 0.289 | 62.53 | 1.107 | 0.252 | 0.312 | 11.048 | 0.788 |
| 65062362 | 0.001 | 0.028 | 0.008 | -4.868 | 0.275 | 62.32 | 0.578 | 0.063 | 0.846 | 10.877 | 0.77 |
| 82329428 | 0.001 | 0.005 | 0.004 | -4.765 | 0.259 | 82.99 | 1.298 | 0.12 | 0.615 | 10.975 | 0.731 |
| 82329437 | 0 | 0.001 | 0.017 | -5.32 | 0.278 | 81.77 | 1.269 | 0.255 | 0.761 | 12.158 | 0.759 |
| 82329486 | 0.001 | 0.003 | 0.004 | -5.012 | 0.243 | 56.25 | 1.337 | 0.28 | 0.511 | 11.546 | 0.766 |
| 82329488 | 0 | 0.001 | 0.016 | -5.365 | 0.279 | 78.83 | 1.474 | 0.28 | 0.847 | 12.708 | 0.707 |
| 82329501 | 0 | 0.001 | 0.018 | -5.328 | 0.273 | 79.08 | 1.502 | 0.297 | 0.697 | 12.387 | 0.74 |
| 117042268 | 0 | 0.002 | 0.004 | -5.086 | 0.275 | 48.22 | 0.92 | 0.132 | 0.846 | 10.146 | 0.793 |
| 131886055 | 0 | 0.003 | 0.005 | -5.133 | 0.19 | 24.82 | 0.781 | 0.096 | 0.814 | 16.492 | 0.783 |

Protein preparation of target protein LTA4H and ligand structures for molecular docking

The target protein LTA4H (3U9W) was retrieved from the Protein Data Bank (PDB) database. The miscellaneous molecules (water and natural co-crystallized ligand molecules) were removed from the protein structure and the dehydrated protein structure was prepared for the molecular docking process through PyMOL software. The initial structure contains water molecules and small molecules and the final protein structure which is prepared for the docking study displays the removal of miscellaneous molecules as seen in Figure 3.



Figure 3. Visualization of LTA4H protein indicating hydrogen bond addition (Green line)

Molecular docking between selected ligand compounds and protein LTA4H

Among 47 compounds, 7 compounds displayed the best docking score, and 04 out of 7 compounds displayed a docking score more than the standard drug Bestatin (i.e. 7.4 kcal/mol). Among these 05 compounds, compound 3-[butan-2-yl-[2-(3-ethylphenoxy)ethyl]a mino]propanoic acid displayed the highest docking score of -8.8 kcal/mol, and the lowest RMSD value of 0.042 in comparison with the standard drug Bestatin which displayed the docking score of - 7.4 kcal/mol. Hence both compounds 3-[butan-2-yl-[2-(3-ethylphenoxy)ethyl]amino]propanoicacid and Bestatin were selected as input for 2D protein-ligand interaction analysis (Table 4).



Figure 4. 3D interaction of ligand molecules (3-[butan-2-yl-[2-(3-methylphenoxy)ethyl]amino]propanoic acid (Green) and Bestatin (Dark blue) with target protein LTA4H

| S. | Name of Compound | PubChem | RMSD | Score | Protein-Ligand Interaction |
|----|--|-----------|-------------------|------------|--------------------------------------|
| No | | | (A ⁰) | (Kcal/mol) | |
| 1 | 3-[butan-2-yl-[2-(3-methyl | 82329405 | 0.042 | -8.8 | Hydrogen ((GLU (1325), GLU (1384), |
| | phenoxy)ethyl]amino]propanoic acid | | | | GLY (1268))), |
| | | | | | Alkyl (ARG (1326), TYR (1267), LYS |
| | | | | | (1565), ARG (1563)). |
| 2 | 3-[butan-2-yl-[2-(4-methylph | 82329428 | 0.045 | -8.1 | Hydrogen (ASN (1291)) & Alkyl (HIS |
| | enoxy)ethyl]amino]propan | | | | (1295), VAL (1292), TYR (1383, GLU |
| | oic acid | | | | (1296)) |
| 3 | 3-[methyl-[(3- | 65063210 | 0.042 | -7.6 | Hydrogen (GLY (1269), ARG (1568)) |
| | phenoxyphenyl)methyl]amino]propanoicacid | | | | |
| 4 | 3-[2-(3-methyl | 60833298 | 0.059 | -7.5 | Alkyl (TYR (1378)) |
| | phenoxy)ethyl-propan-2-ylam | | | | |
| | ino]propanoic acid | | | | |
| 5 | 3-[cyclopropyl-[3-(3-methyl | 60844005 | 0.045 | -7.3 | Alkyl (MET (1564)) |
| | phenoxy)propanoyl]amino]propanoic acid | | | | |
| 6 | 3-[4-(3-methyl | 119207335 | 0.063 | -7.2 | Hydrogen (GLY (1268), GLY (1269)) |
| | phenoxy)butanoyl-propan-2- | | | | Alkyl (GLU (1296), MET (1564, TYR |
| | ylamino]propanoic acid | | | | (1378), LYS (1565), HIS (1295)) |
| 7 | 3-[ethyl-[(3-propan-2-ylo | 65061150 | 0.064 | -7.2 | Hydrogen ((TYR (1383), GLY (1268), |
| | xyphenyl)methyl]amino]butanoic acid | | | | GLY (1269), MET (1564), TYR (1378)), |
| | | | | | Alkyl (TYR (1267)) |
| 8 | Bestatin (Standard) | 72172 | 0.000 | -7.4 | Hydrogen (ARG (1563), GLY (1269), |
| | | | | | GLU (1325)), |
| | | | | | Alkyl (TYR (1378) and TYR (1383)) |

Table 4. Molecular Docking Results

Analysis of protein-ligand interaction of docked complex structures

The compound 3-[butan-2- yl-[2-(3-ethyl phenoxy)ethyl]amino]pr

opanoicacid formed 07 bonds (04 hydrogens and 03 alkyls) with the 07 amino acids (GLU (1325), GLU (1384), GLY (1268), ARG (1326), TYR (1267), LYS (1565), ARG (1563)) present in the active site of the target protein LTA4H. On the other hand, the standard ligand Bestatin formed 05 bonds (03 hydrogens and 02 alkyl) with 05 amino acids (ARG (1563), GLY (1269), GLU (1325), TYR (1378) and TYR (1383)) present in the active site of the target protein LTA4H (Figure 4) (Figure 5).



Figure 5. Protein-ligand interaction between protein LTA4H and ligand compounds. (**5a**) 2D interaction between (3-[butan-2-yl-[2-(3-methylphenoxy)ethyl]amino]propanoicacid and LTA4H. (**5b**) 2D interaction analysis between Bestatin and LTA4H

DISCUSSION

The human body is a complex system consisting of trillions of cells. Uncontrolled cell growth with damaged DNA is the main indication for identifying cancer cells. Damaged DNA makes the individual weak and prone to diseases like pandemics³⁰. One of the major types of cancer cases identified in the year 2022 is colorectal cancer. According to WCRF, more than 1,926,425 cases and 904,019 deaths were reported due to colorectal cancer in the year 2022. Researchers have studied many potential targets for colorectal cancer and among them, LTA4H (3U9W) plays a significant role in the growth and proliferation of the cancer cells. LTA4H plays a crucial role in the biosynthesis of leukotrienes, which are important for the growth of cancer cells, hence it was selected as the target protein for finding novel drugs against colorectal cancer. In the present study, Bestatin was explored as the query molecule through PubChem screening, and 1000 query-like molecules as the result of PubChem screening. These 1000 molecules were further filtered through Lipinski's rule of five to filter out the molecules with more probability of becoming potential lead molecules. Among 1000 molecules, 875 molecules were obtained after applying Lipinski's rule of five and these 875 molecules were further processed for both druglikeness and ADMET tests through SwissADME and ADMETlab software. Among 875 molecules, 47 molecules displaying both druglikeness and ADMET properties within the accepted range will be selected as the ligand molecules for molecular docking against the target protein LTA4H. At the end of the druglikeness and ADMET, tests target protein LTA4H, 47 ligand molecules, and standard ligands were prepared for the molecular docking process with the help of AutoDock vina package of UCSF Chimera and OpenBabel. The prepared ligand structures were docked against the protein LTA4H through batch docking in PyRx software. Docking results depicted that among 47 ligand molecules, 07 out of 47 ligand molecules displayed the best docking results in comparison with the standard drug Bestatin. Among 07 ligand molecules, compound 3-[butan-2yl-[2-(3-methylphenoxy)ethyl]amino]propanoicacid displayed the highest docking score of -8.8 kcal/mol, lowest RMSD value of 0.042 A°, and formed 07 bonds (03 hydrogens and 04 alkyl) with the active site residue amino acids ((GLU (1325), GLU (1384), GLY (1268), (ARG (1326), TYR (1267), LYS (1565), ARG (1563)) with the target protein LTA4H. Meanwhile, the standard drug Bestatin displayed a docking score of -7.4 kcal/mol and formed 05 bonds (03 hydrogens and 02 alkyls) with the active site residue amino acids (ARG (1563), GLY (1269), GLU (1325), (TYR (1378) and TYR (1383)) with LTA4H protein. Hence compound 3-[butan-2-yl-[2-(3-methylphenoxy)ethyl]amino]propa noicacid can be further developed as the anticancer drug to fight colorectal cancer with LTA4H as the target protein.

CONCLUSION

From the present study, it was evident that the compound (3-[butan-2-yl-[2-(3-methylphenoxy)ethyl]amino]propanoicacid displayed the highest docking score of -8.8 kcal/mol, lowest RMSD of 0.042 A⁰ and formed 07 bonds with amino acids (GLU (1325), GLU (1384), GLY (1268), ARG (1326), TYR (1267), LYS (1565), ARG (1563)) present in the active site residue of the target protein LTA4H. Hence the compound (3-[butan-2-yl-[2-(3-methylphenoxy)ethyl]amino]propanoicacid can be further studied as an effective and safe medication to treat colorectal cancer through in-vitro and in-vivo studies.

ACKNOWLEDGMENTS

The authors acknowledge support from REVA University for the facilities provided to conduct the present research work.

CONFLICT OF INTEREST STATEMENT

The authors declare that there is conflict of interest for this work.

REFERENCES

- Y. Arora, M. Kumar, D. Kumar. The role of Pesticides in Cancer initiation and progression: A comprehensive review. *Chemical Biology Letters* 2024, 11 (3), 669.
- M.S. Chandraprasad, A. Dey, M.K. Swamy. 1 Introduction to cancer and treatment approaches. In *Paclitaxel*; Swamy, M. K., Pullaiah, T., Chen, Z.-S., Eds.; Academic Press, **2022**; pp 1–27.
- Á. Nagy, G. Munkácsy, B. Győrffy. Pancancer survival analysis of cancer hallmark genes. *Sci Rep* 2021, 11 (1).
- B.S. Chhikara, K. Parang. Global Cancer Statistics 2022: the trends projection analysis. *Chemical Biology Letters* 2023, 10 (1), 451.
- J.M. Adams, S. V Rege, A.T. Liu, et al. Leukotriene A4 hydrolase inhibition improves age-related cognitive decline via modulation of synaptic function. *Sci Adv* 2023, 9 (46), eadf8764.
- M. Hussein, E.-S. Ali. Unlocking precision oncology: the role of neoantigen-based cancer vaccines. *Biomedical and Therapeutics Letters* 2025, 12 (1), 1152.
- M. Goel, M.K. Mishra, D. Kumar. Recent advances in Targeted Radionuclide therapy for Cancer treatment. *Chemical Biology Letters* 2023, 10 (3), 544.
- X. Wan, H. Wang, B. Shi, et al. An enzyme activated fluorescent probe for LTA4H activity sensing and its application in cancer screening. *Talanta* 2023, 253, 123887.
- 9. M. Kumari, B.S. Chhikara, P. Singh, B. Rathi. Signaling and molecular pathways implicated in oral cancer: A concise review. *Chemical Biology Letters* **2024**, 11 (1), 652.
- S. Zhao, K. Yao, D. Li, et al. Inhibition of LTA4H by bestatin in human and mouse colorectal cancer. *EBioMedicine* **2019**, 44, 361– 374.
- Y. Cai, X. Mu, G. Li, D. Xu. Quantum Mechanical/Molecular Mechanical Elucidation of the Catalytic Mechanism of Leukotriene A4 Hydrolase as an Epoxidase. *J Phys Chem B* 2023, 127 (48), 10338–10350.
- R.S. Upendra, P. Khandelwal. Assessment of nutritive values, phytochemical constituents and biotherapeutic potentials of Epiphyllum oxypetalum; 2012.
- R.S. Upendra, P. Khandelwal, Z.R. Amiri, L. Shwetha. Screening and molecular characterization of natural fungal isolates producing lovastatin. *J Microb Biochem Technol* **2013**, 5 (1), 25–30.
- S.J. Lakshmi, U.R. Siddiraju. Comprehensive study of secondary metabolite profile and pharmacological effects of medicinal plant Toddalia asiatica. *J Appl Pharm Sci* 2022, 12 (7), 42–52.

- G. Xiong, Z. Wu, J. Yi, et al. ADMETlab 2.0: an integrated online platform for accurate and comprehensive predictions of ADMET properties. *Nucleic Acids Res* 2021, 49 (W1), W5–W14.
- H.W. Kim, M.-K. Ko, S.H. Park, et al. Bestatin, A Pluripotent Immunomodulatory Small Molecule, Drives Robust and Long-Lasting Immune Responses as an Adjuvant in Viral Vaccines. *Vaccines (Basel)* 2023, 11 (11), 1690.
- J.Z. Haeggström, M.E. Newcomer. Structures of Leukotriene Biosynthetic Enzymes and Development of New Therapeutics. *Annu Rev Pharmacol Toxicol* 2023, 63 (1), 407–428.
- A. Daina, O. Michielin, V. Zoete. SwissADME: a free web tool to evaluate pharmacokinetics, drug-likeness and medicinal chemistry friendliness of small molecules. *Sci Rep* 2017, 7 (1), 42717.
- C.B.C. Ikpa, N.N. Chidozie-Ikpa. Molecular docking of phytochemical compounds in Cucurbita maxima with anti-prostate cancer activity. *Journal of Molecular Chemistry* 2024, 4 (1), 685.
- S.P. Gurjar, A. Gupta, A. Roy. Molecular docking studies of phytocompounds from Artemisia monosperma against ERK2 kinase in lung cancer. *Journal of Molecular Chemistry* 2023, 3 (2), 591.
- G. Xiong, Z. Wu, J. Yi, et al. ADMETlab 2.0: an integrated online platform for accurate and comprehensive predictions of ADMET properties. *Nucleic Acids Res* 2021, 49 (W1), W5–W14.
- 22. L. Fu, S. Shi, J. Yi, et al. ADMETlab 3.0: an updated comprehensive online ADMET prediction platform enhanced with broader coverage, improved performance, API functionality and decision support. *Nucleic Acids Res* 2024, 52 (W1), W422–W431.
- P.C. Agu, C.A. Afiukwa, O.U. Orji, et al. Molecular docking as a tool for the discovery of molecular targets of nutraceuticals in diseases management. *Sci Rep* **2023**, 13 (1), 13398.
- 24. E.F. Pettersen, T.D. Goddard, C.C. Huang, et al. <scp>UCSF ChimeraX</scp>: Structure visualization for researchers, educators, and developers. *Protein Science* **2021**, 30 (1), 70–82.
- 25. H.M. Almohaimeed, A.I. Almars, W. Al Abdulmonem, et al. Molecular dynamics exploration of Lupenone: therapeutic implications for glioblastoma multiforme and alzheimer's amyloid beta pathogenesis. *Metab Brain Dis* **2023**, 39 (1), 77–88.
- A., W.N. and A.Z., Najib. Utilizing the Pyrx Program to Conduct in Silico Screening of Polyphenol Compounds as Potential Inhibitors of the Epidermal Growth Factor Receptor (EGFR) in Mango Plants (Mangifera Indica. *Tropical Journal of Natural Product Research* 2023, 7 (10).
- R.S. Upendra, S. Srinivas Nagar, K. Vasudevan. Computational screening of phytocompound isolated from the plant Toddalia asiatica and Coleonema album as potential inhibitors against enzyme DPP-4 as a treatment for Type-2 Diabetes mellitus. *Chemical Papers* 2024, 78 (3), 1833–1847.
- V. Rustagi, S.R.R. Gupta, A. Singh, I.K. Singh. Beyond trial and error: Leveraging advanced software for Therapeutic discovery. *Chemical Biology Letters* 2025, 12 (1), 1251.
- 29. G. El Khoury, W. Azzam, J. Rebehmed. PyProtif: a PyMol plugin to retrieve and visualize protein motifs for structural studies. *Amino Acids* **2023**, 55 (10), 1429–1436.
- 30. R. Munikannaian, R.S. Upendra, S.S. Nagar. Significance of Insilco Approaches in Finding Novel Biomolecules Challenging Newly Emerging, Resurging, Deliberately Emerging Global Outbreaks. *The Eurasia Proceedings of Science Technology Engineering and Mathematics* 2022, 20, 134–141.