

Genetic polymorphism in Angiotensin-Converting Enzyme (ACE) and Asthma risk: A comparative meta-analysis based on Four gene model strategy

Kanu Priya¹, Garvit Sharma², Amita Suneja Dang², Shiv Kumar Giri³, Gulab Singh⁴, Saurabh Sudha Dhiman^{5,6}, Ashish Kumar^{7,8}, Sarvesh Rustagi⁹, Nishant Ranjan¹⁰, Swetha Raj¹¹, Anil Kumar^{2*}

¹Department of Life Sciences, Sharda University, Greater Noida, Uttar Pradesh, India. ^{2*}Centre for Medical Biotechnology, Maharshi Dayanand University, Rohtak, Haryana, India. ³Department of Biotechnology, Maharaja Agrasen University, Solan, Himachal Pradesh, India. ³Department of Bioscience, School of Liberal Arts and Sciences. ⁴Mody University, Lakshmangarh, Rajasthan, India. ⁵Chemistry, Biology and Health Sciences Department, ⁶Civil and Engineering Department, South Dakota Mines, Rapid City, SD-57701-USA. ⁷Department of Mechanical Engineering, Institute of Aeronautical Engineering, Hyderabad, India. ⁸Division of Research and Development, Lovely Professional University, Phagwara, India. ⁹School of Applied and Life Sciences, Uttaranchal University Dehradun, Dehradun, Uttarakhand, India.¹⁰University Centre for Research and Development, Department of mechanical engineering, Chandigarh University Gharuan, Mohali Punjab. ¹¹Center for Global Health Research, Saveetha Medical College and Hospitals, Saveetha Institute of Medical and Technical Sciences (SIMATS), Chennai, India

Received on: 12-Dec-2023, Accepted and Published on: 18-Oct-2024

Article

ABSTRACT

Recent research indicates that angiotensin-converting enzyme (ACE) gene activity contributes to the etiology of asthma disease. Over the years, various studies on the ACE gene polymorphism have been conducted, but the results have been inconsistent. We conducted a meta-analysis to assess the relationship between the ACE gene polymorphism (I/D) and asthma risk using the conventional meta-analysis method and four-gene model strategy proposed by Horita and Kaneko. A systematic search of PubMed, Medline, Embase, etc. was conducted to retrieve all studies pertaining to ACE gene polymorphism. Data were extracted from all the eligible studies, and pooled Odds ratio (OR) and 95% CI were calculated to determine the strength of an association between ACE gene polymorphism and asthma risk. Using software such as SPSS, Review Manager 5.4, and JASP (Jeffrey's Amazing Statistics Program), the data were statistically analyzed. In our analysis, we contrasted a number of gene polymorphism models. In accordance with standard meta-analysis procedures, we selected the dominant genetic model to be the random effect model in our meta-analyses. Using this model, we found that DD homozygotes have an increased risk of asthma disease compared to DI heterozygotes and II homozygotes (OR=1.61, CI=1.28-2.03, I2=68%, p<0.00001). According to ethnicity-based stratified analyses, Asians are more susceptible to asthma than Europeans. Moreover, age-based analysis revealed that minors are more susceptible to asthma than adults. Our research aimed to compare conventional meta-analysis practices to the four-gene model strategy as it reduces type 1 error. We have chosen the dominant genetic model as the random effect (RE) model under the conventional meta-analysis technique and observed the significant association between ACE gene polymorphism and asthma disease. Although, while performing the four-gene model strategy, the over-dominant model was selected as the best possible model for the meta-analysis under which no significant association was obs

Keywords: Angiotensin-converting enzyme, polymorphism, Susceptibility, Asthma, Genetic model

INTRODUCTION

Asthma is a chronic inflammatory disease of the upper respiratory tract. It is characterized by airway hyperresponsiveness, which results in recurrent episodes of wheezing, coughing, sneezing, chest stiffening, and bronchoconstriction.¹ The aetiology of the disease is influenced by genetic determinants and environmental conditions because the response to treatment depends on an individual's genetic conditions. In contrast, the appearance and prevalence of the disease are influenced by

*Corresponding Author: Prof. Anil Kumar, Centre for Medical Biotechnology, M.D. University, Rohtak, Haryana. India. Email: anil.cmbt@mdurohtak.ac.in Cite as: J. Integr. Sci. Technol., 2025, 13(2), 1033. URN:NBN:sciencein.jist.2025.v13.1033 DOI: 10.62110/ sciencein.jist.2025.v13.1033

©Authors CC4-NC-ND, ScienceIN

http://pubs.thesciencein.org/jist

Journal of Integrated Science and Technology

angiotensin-aldosterone system (RAAS) regulates the glomerular filtration rate (GFR) and systemic blood volume. Renin converts angiotensinogen to angiotensin I, which is then converted to the vasoconstrictor angiotensin II by the ACE. Additionally, ACE degrades inflammatory substances such as Bradykinin and substance P, thereby functioning as anti-inflammatory molecules.² These enzymes are predominantly found in the lungs and kidneys. The gene encoding ACE is highly polymorphic due to the insertion/deletion (I/D) of a 287 bp segment in intron 16;³ it resides on chromosome 17q3 and is composed of 26 exons and 25 introns. The polymorphism of the ACE gene frequently results in variable serum ACE levels, which may increase asthma susceptibility.

environmental conditions. In recent years, numerous genes have

been implicated in the etiology of asthma, including the

angiotensin-converting enzyme (ACE) gene. The renin-

Various studies have determined the association between ACE gene polymorphism and asthma susceptibility over the years, but the results have been controversial and equivocal, possibly due to the small sample size. In this context, three meta-analyses^{4–6} have been conducted to date, but they have contained specific errors. Determining the association between the ACE gene polymorphism and asthma required us to conduct a conventional meta-analysis with updated data in order to reach a definitive conclusion. In addition, we attempted to determine the optimal genetic model for our meta-analysis using the four-gene model strategy proposed by Horita and Kaneko.⁷

MATERIALS AND METHODS

Publication search

We searched PubMed, Medline, and Embase for previous studies demonstrating correlations between ACE gene polymorphism and asthmatic disease. "ACE gene polymorphism", "ACE gene polymorphism and asthma disease", "ACE gene loci", and "asthmatic genes" were the search terms. All eligible studies published between 1997 and 2021 were extracted from the relevant databases. In addition, bibliography and cross-references were investigated to locate additional eligible studies.

Selection of studies

Numerous investigations from PubMed and other databases demonstrated the association between ACE gene polymorphism and asthma. For our meta-analyses, the inclusion criteria were (a) studies should be published in the English language; (b) It should be a case-control study; (c) studies should have provided genotypes for the estimation of the Odds ratio and 95% CI: (d) genotype for the control groups should be in agreement with the Hardy-Weinberg equilibrium (HWE); (e) studies available only with PubMed, Medline and Embase databases. The criteria for exclusion were (a) studies without control groups. Studies published in languages besides English. (c) Editorials, letters, systematic reviews, and meta-analyses that have been published. An outline of selected studies has been shown in Figure 1.



Figure 1: Flowchart illustrating the selection of studies for the metaanalysis

Data analysis

The Hardy Weinberg equilibrium (HWE) test was used to compare the observed and expected genotype frequencies of each control group in each study. By calculating the Odds Ratio (OR)

Table 1: Attributes of case-control studies included in meta-analys
--

Author	Year	ethnicity	Age	Asthma definition	Sample size	Genoty ping method
Guo ⁸	2006	Asian	Children	Not described	52/72	PCR
Lu ⁹	2004	Asian	Adults	Chinese Asthma diagnosis criteria	18/15	PCR
Song ¹⁰	2001	Asian	Children	Chinese asthma diagnosis criteria	108/56	PCR
Gao ¹¹	2000	Asian	Not described	Doctor diagnosis	50/50	PCR
Qin ¹²	2000	Asian	Children	Chinese asthma diagnosis criteria	52/40	PCR
Lue ¹³	2006	Asian	Children	ATS criteria	105/102	PCR
Lee ¹⁴	2000	Asian	Adults	ATS criteria	310/121	PCR
Eryuksel ¹⁵	2009	Asian	Adults	ATS criteria	97/96	PCR
Nakhama ¹⁶	1999	Asian	Adults	ATS criteria	119/208	PCR
Tomita ¹⁷	1998	Asian	Adults	ATS criteria	71/142	PCR
Gao ¹⁸	1998	Asian	Not described	Not described	300/100	Not mentioned
Winchester ²	2000	Asian	children	Questionnaire	6/275	PCR
Isa Abdi ¹⁹	2011	Asian	Not described	Not described	62/212	PCR
Elshafai 20	2011	Asian	Adults	GINA	30/30	PCR
E bora ²¹	2013	Asian	Children	GINA	102/99	PCR
Saba ²²	2016	Asian	Adults	Doctor diagnosis	333/521	PCR
Zheng ²³	2012	Asian	Children	Doctor diagnosis	198/110	PCR
Chagani ²⁴	1999	Europe an	Not described	Doctor diagnosis	231/43	PCR
Gao ¹⁸	1998	Europe an	Not described	Not described	150/150	Not mentioned
Winchester 2	2000	Europe an	children	Questionnaire	20/416	PCR
Benessiano 25	1997	Europe an	Adults	ATS criteria	100/100	PCR
Holla ²⁶	1999	Europe an	Adults	ATS criteria, questionnaire	161/141	PCR
Yildiz ²⁷	2004	European	Adults	ATS criteria	42/46	PCR
Lee ²⁸	2009	European	Adults	Questionnaire	610/8428	PCR

HWE: Hardy Weinberg Equilibrium, ATS: American Thoracic society, GINA: Global Initiative of Asthma guidelines with 95% confidence intervals (CI), the strength of association between ACE gene polymorphism and asthma was estimated. A value of OR>1 indicates an association between disease and gene polymorphism, whereas a value of OR1 indicates no association between disease and gene polymorphism. The significance of the OR value was determined using the Z test, with a significance level of p<0.5. We calculated the combined OR for four distinct genetic models: dominant (DD vs. DI+II), recessive (II vs. DD+DI), overdominant (DI vs. DD+II), and co-dominant (DD vs. II). In addition, we have computed the OR value for the allelic model (D versus I). Based on ethnicity and age categories, subgroup analysis was conducted. The heterogeneity between the studies was determined using a Chi-square-based Q test, where p<0.5 was considered statistically significant. The I² statistical test was also conducted to estimate heterogeneity that indicates percentage variability within the study. I² values range from 0% to 100%, with greater than 50% heterogeneity implicating the random effect model and less than 50% implicating the fixed effect model. In addition, publication bias was examined using the Begg funnel plot and Egger regression test.²⁹ SPPSS v.25 was used to conduct statistical analysis. At p<0.05, the results were considered significant.

RESULTS

Characteristics of studies

A comprehensive search was performed using the PubMed, Medline and Embase databases. In the preliminary stage of our meta-analyses, few irrelevant studies were excluded as they failed to provide any statistical outcome on ACE gene polymorphism and its association with asthma disease. Out of the remaining studies, 3 earlier conducted meta-analyses were also excluded. Furthermore, two studies with insufficient control group data,^{30,31} two studies with control group genotype violating the Hardy-Weinberg equilibrium,^{32,33} and one study lacking a control group³⁴ were also excluded. After applying all the inclusion and exclusion criteria, in total, 24 studies were considered eligible for further analysis (Table 1). Based on ethnicity, control groups from 15 studies had Asian descendants, 5 had European descendants, and control groups from 2 studies had both Asian and European descendants. Based on age groups,³² studies have been performed on the Adults,^{14-18,20-24,34} while, 7 studies were performed involving the children^{2,3,19,25-28} and remaining studies have not mentioned the Age groups.^{29,30,32,33 19}





Study or Subgroup Events Total Weight M-H, Random, 95% CI Year M-H, Random, 95% CI 1.1.1 Asian Gao 1998 69 300 25 100 5.4% 0.90 [0.53, 1.52] 1998 Tomita 1998 9 71 16 142 3.6% 1.14 [0.48, 2.73] 1998 Nakaham 1999 22 119 28 208 5.0% 1.46 [0.79, 2.68] 1999 Gao 1999 23 50 8 50 3.4% 4.47 [1.75, 11.43] 1999 Lee 2000 43 310 23 121 5.3% 0.69 [0.39, 1.20] 2000 Qin 2000 18 52 5 40 2.8% 3.71 [1.24, 11.10] 2000 Winchester 2000 0 6 42 275 0.6% 0.42 [0.02, 7.64] 2000 4 Song 2001 41 108 9 56 3.9% 3.20 [1.42, 7.20] 2001 4
1.1.1 Asian Gao 1998 69 300 25 100 5.4% 0.90 [0.53, 1.52] 1998 Tomita 1998 9 71 16 142 3.6% 1.14 [0.48, 2.73] 1998 Nakaham 1999 22 119 28 208 5.0% 1.46 [0.79, 2.68] 1999 Gao 1999 23 50 8 50 3.4% 4.47 [1.75, 11.43] 1999 Lee 2000 43 310 23 121 5.3% 0.69 [0.39, 1.20] 2000 Qin 2000 18 52 5 40 2.8% 3.71 [1.24, 11.10] 2000 Winchester 2000 0 6 42 275 0.6% 0.42 [0.02, 7.64] 2000 Song 2001 41 108 9 56 3.9% 3.20 [1.42, 7.20] 2001
Gao 1998 69 300 25 100 5.4% 0.90 [0.53, 1.52] 1998 Tomita 1998 9 71 16 142 3.6% 1.14 [0.48, 2.73] 1998 Nakaham 1999 22 119 28 208 5.0% 1.46 [0.79, 2.68] 1999 Gao 1999 23 50 8 50 3.4% 4.47 [1.75, 11.43] 1999 Lee 2000 43 310 23 121 5.3% 0.69 [0.39, 1.20] 2000 Qin 2000 18 52 5 40 2.8% 3.71 [1.24, 11.10] 2000 Winchester 2000 0 6 42 275 0.6% 0.42 [0.02, 7.64] 2000 Song 2001 41 108 9 56 3.9% 3.20 [1.42, 7.20] 2001
Tomita 1998 9 71 16 142 3.6% 1.14 [0.48, 2.73] 1998 Nakaham 1999 22 119 28 208 5.0% 1.46 [0.79, 2.68] 1999 Gao 1999 23 50 8 50 3.4% 4.47 [1.75, 11.43] 1999 Lee 2000 43 310 23 121 5.3% 0.69 [0.39, 1.20] 2000 Qin 2000 18 52 5 40 2.8% 3.71 [1.24, 11.10] 2000 Winchester 2000 0 6 42 275 0.6% 0.42 [0.02, 7.64] 2000 Song 2001 41 108 9 56 3.9% 3.20 [1.42, 7.20] 2001
Nakaham 1999 22 119 28 208 5.0% 1.46 [0.79, 2.68] 1999 Gao 1999 23 50 8 50 3.4% 4.47 [1.75, 11.43] 1999 Lee 2000 43 310 23 121 5.3% 0.69 [0.39, 1.20] 2000
Gao 1999 23 50 8 50 3.4% 4.47 [1.75, 11.43] 1999 Lee 2000 43 310 23 121 5.3% 0.69 [0.39, 1.20] 2000 + Qin 2000 18 52 5 40 2.8% 3.71 [1.24, 11.10] 2000 + + Winchester 2000 0 6 42 275 0.6% 0.42 [0.02, 7.64] 2000 + + Song 2001 41 108 9 56 3.9% 3.20 [1.42, 7.20] 2001 +
Lee 2000 43 310 23 121 5.3% 0.69 [0.39, 1.20] 2000 ▲ Qin 2000 18 52 5 40 2.8% 3.71 [1.24, 11.10] 2000 ▲ Winchester 2000 0 6 42 275 0.6% 0.42 [0.02, 7.64] 2000 ▲ Song 2001 41 108 9 56 3.9% 3.20 [1.42, 7.20] 2001 ▲
Qin 2000 18 52 5 40 2.8% 3.71 [1.24, 11.10] 2000 Winchester 2000 0 6 42 275 0.6% 0.42 [0.02, 7.64] 2000 + Song 2001 41 108 9 56 3.9% 3.20 [1.42, 7.20] 2001 +
Winchester 2000 0 6 42 275 0.6% 0.42 [0.02, 7.64] 2000 + Song 2001 41 108 9 56 3.9% 3.20 [1.42, 7.20] 2001
Song 2001 41 108 9 56 3.9% 3.20 [1.42, 7.20] 2001
LU 2004 11 18 3 15 1.7% 6.29 [1.29, 30.54] 2004
Guo 2006 7 52 4 72 2.3% 2.64 [0.73, 9.56] 2006
Lue 2006 17 105 4 102 2.7% 4.73 [1.53, 14.60] 2006
Eryuksel 2009 39 97 18 96 4.7% 2.91 [1.52, 5.60] 2009
El shafai 2011 14 30 10 30 3.0% 1.75 [0.62, 4.97] 2011
Isa Abdi Rad 2011 17 62 83 212 4.9% 0.59 [0.32, 1.09] 2011 🔶
ZHENG 2012 75 198 15 110 4.9% 3.86 [2.09, 7.15] 2012
E bora 2013 32 102 20 99 4.8% 1.81 [0.95, 3.44] 2013
Saba 2016 94 333 137 521 6.7% 1.10 [0.81, 1.50] 2016
Subtotal (95% Cl) 2013 2249 65.4% 1.80 [1.28, 2.53]
Total events 531 450
Heterogeneity: Tau² = 0.33; Chi² = 57.43, df = 16 (P < 0.00001); l² = 72%
Test for overall effect: Z = 3.35 (P = 0.0008)
1.1.2 European
Benessiano 1997 47 100 27 100 5.1% 2.40 [1.33, 4.33] 1997
Gao 1998 55 150 48 150 5.7% 1.23 [0.76, 1.98] 1998
Chagani 1999 79 231 12 43 4.4% 1.34 [0.65, 2.76] 1999
Holla 1999 53 161 29 141 5.4% 1.90 [1.12, 3.20] 1999
Winchester 2000 6 20 134 416 3.2% 0.90 [0.34, 2.40] 2000
Yildiz 2004 15 42 13 46 3.5% 1.41 [0.57, 3.47] 2004
Lee 2009 166 610 2208 8428 7.2% 1.05 [0.88, 1.27] 2009
Subtotal (95% Cl) 1314 9324 34.6% 1.37 [1.05, 1.78]
Total events 421 2471
Heterogeneity: Tau² = 0.05; Chi² = 10.66, df = 6 (P = 0.10); l² = 44%
Test for overall effect: Z = 2.28 (P = 0.02)
Total (95% CI) 3327 11573 100.0% 1.61 [1.28, 2.03]
Total events 952 2921
Heterogeneity: $Tau^2 = 0.18$; $Chi^2 = 71.04$, $df = 23$ (P < 0.00001); $l^2 = 68\%$
Test for overall effect: $7 = 4.07$ (P < 0.0001) 0.5 0.7 1 1.5 2
Test for subgroup differences: Chi ² = 1.54, df = 1 (P = 0.22), l ² = 34.9%

Figure 3: Forest plot for the dominant genetic model under random effect

Quantitative synthesis

The overall evaluation of the association between ACE gene polymorphism and asthma disease is given in Table 2. As mentioned earlier, we have analyzed various genetic models in our study. By the conventional meta-analyses practices, the random effect model was the dominant genetic model. In the dominant genetic model, a statistically significant association was observed (OR=1.61, CI=1.28-2.03, $I^2 = 68\%$, p< 0.00001) Figure 2. On the basis of four-gene model concept, the over-dominant model came out as the best possible model for the meta-analyses. However, statistically, no association was observed under the over-dominant genetic model (OR=0.76, CI=0.62-0.92, I² =68%) and asthma disease Figure 3.

Table 2: Summary analysis of the various genetic models used in the meta-analyses

Genetic model	Categories	Odds ratio (OR)	95% C	Z valu e	p value	I ²	p value
Dominan t genetic	All (24)	1.61	1.28- 2.03	4.07	< 0.0001	68 %	<0.0000 1
model (DD VS	Asians(17)	1.80	1.28- 2.53	3.35	0.0008	72 %	<0.0000 1
DI+II)	Europeans(7)	1.37	1.05- 1.78	2.28	0.02	44 %	< 0.10
	Adults(11)	1.45	1.11- 1.90	2.69	0.07	62 %	0.003
	Children(7)	2.51	1.58- 4.01	3.87	0.0001	43 %	0.10
	Age not mentioned(6	1.31	0.80- 2.14	1.06	0.29	67 %	0.009
Co- dominant	All(24)	1.58	1.17- 2.15	2.95	0.003	72 %	<0.0000 1

genetic	Asians(17)	1.72	1.09-	2.31	0.02	79	< 0.0000
model	-		2.73			%	1
(DD VS II)	Europeans(7)	1.36	1.04- 1.78	2.27	0.02	17 %	0.30
	Adults(11)	1.53	0.97-	1.83	0.07	80	< 0.0000
			2.42			%	1
	Children(7)	2.60	1.79- 3.80	4.97	<0.0000 1	0%	0.59
	Age not	1.10	0.66-	0.35	0.72	54 %	0.06
)		1.04			70	
Over-	All(24)	0.76	0.62-	2.79	0.005	68	< 0.0000
dominant	× ,		0.92			%	1
model	Asians(17)	0.72	0.56-	2.54	0.01	67	< 0.0001
(DI VS			0.93			%	
DD+II)	Europeans(7	0.85	0.66-	1.17	0.24	51	0.06
)		1.11			%	
	Adults(11)	0.84	0.63-	1.17	0.24	77	<0.0000
	G1:11 (7)	0.62	1.12	0.10	0.02	%	1
	Children(/)	0.62	0.40-	2.18	0.03	58 04	0.03
	Age not	0.77	0.95	1.83	0.07	⁷⁰ 25	
	mentioned(6	0.77	1.02	1.65	0.07	%	
)		1.02			70	
Recessiv	All (24)	0.90	0.72-	0.89	0.38	71	< 0.0000
e model			1.13			%	1
(II VS	Asians(17)	0.91	0.66-	0.56	0.57	76	< 0.0000
DD+DI)			1.26			%	1
	Europeans(7	0.83	0.69-	1.86	0.06	5%	0.39
)		1.01				
	Adults(11)	0.80	0.53-	1.05	0.29	85	<0.0000
	Children(7)	0.97	1.21	0.65	0.52	% 51	1
	Ciliaren(7)	0.87	1.31	0.05	0.32	31 %	0.00
	Age not	1.17	0.90-	1.17	0.24	0%	0.71
	mention(6)	1.17	1.54	1.17	0.21	070	0.71
	All (24)	1.24	1.06-	2.74	0.006	46	0.008
			1.44			%	
	Asians(17)	1.26	1.01-	2.06	0.04	56	0.003
			1.57			%	
	Europeans(7)	1.16	1.01- 1.33	2.16	0.03	0%	0.44
	Adults(11)	1.18	0.95-	1.48	0.14	56	0.01
			1.46			%	
	Children(7)	1.54	1.13-	2.77	0.006	0%	0.64
	. /		2.08				
	Age not	1.01	0.78-	0.05	0.96	16	0.31
	mention(6)		1.30			%	

 Table 3: Regression test for Funnel plot of over-dominant model asymmetry ("Egger's test")

	Z	р
sei	-0.746	0.456

Stratified subgroup analysis

We also conducted subgroup analysis based on age and ethnicity. Analysis by ethnicity using multiple genetic models reveals that populations with the ACE gene polymorphism are susceptible to an increased risk of asthma in both Europe and Asia (Table 2). Under the co-dominant model, Asian populations were found more prone to the asthmatic condition (OR=1.72, CI=1.09-2.73, p=<0.00001, I²= 79%) as compared to the European ones (OR=1.36, CI=1.04-1.78, p=0.30, I²= 17%). Under the dominant model, similar outcomes were also observed in the Asian (OR=1.80, CI=1.28-2.53, p<0.00001, I²=72%) and European (OR=1.37, CI=1.05-1.78, p=0.10, I²=44%) ethnic groups. Adults and children were susceptible to asthma disease according to both the dominant and co-dominant models, as determined by an analysis stratified by age group. Under the dominant genetic paradigm, however, children are

more susceptible to the disease (OR=2.51, CI=1.58-4.01, p=0.10, I2=43%) than adults (OR=1.45, CI=1.11-1.90, p=0.003, I2=62%).

Four-gene model strategy

In addition to the traditional meta-analysis technique, we also conducted a meta-analysis using the four-gene model strategy (Horita and Kaneko, 2015 [7]). This strategy identifies the optimal genetic model for meta-analysis, particularly for case-control studies. Listed below are the results of the four gene model strategy.

The pooled OR1 between genotypes DD and DI was calculated (Figure 4). There was a statistically significant association between the two genotypes (OR = 1.62, CI = 1.24 to 2.11, p < 0.00001, I^2 = 74%). Similarly, pooled OR2 was calculated between genotypes DI and II (Figure 5).; however, no association was statistically observed (OR=0.90, CI=0.70-1.15, p=0.00001, I²= 71%).As suggested by Horita and Kaneko [7], the combined OR1 and OR2 values were plotted on a logarithmic scale OR1-OR2 plane (Figure 5). The observed values fell within the prevalent model region, indicating that it is the optimal model for our metaanalysis.Calculating the OR3 for the selected model was the third phase. In this instance, the over-dominant genetic model is selected; its OR3 value has been calculated as follows: (OR3= 0.76, CI=0.62-0.92, p=0.000001, I²=68%). The fourth phase involved calculating OR1mod and OR2mod based on OR3. Horita and Kaneko give the relationship between OR1mod and OR2mod for each model. The relationship between the OR1mod and the OR2mod is as follows:

OR1mod=1 and OR2mod=OR3 indicate a recessive model.

OR1mod=OR2mod=OR3 for co-dominant model

OR1mod=OR3 while OR2mod = 1 for the dominant model

OR1mod=OR3 and OR2mod = 1/OR3 according to the dominant model.

The values for OR1mod and OR2mod are shown in Table 4.

Calculate the OR1 and OR2 values for each study between the genotypes DD versus DI and DI versus II. The combined values of OR1 and OR2 were then calculated.On a logarithmic scale, the combined OR1 and OR2 values were plotted on the OR1-OR2 plane. Log-scale is divided symmetrically into four equal regions. We determined the optimal model (over-dominant) by plotting the values against it. The OR3 value is then calculated for the optimal model selected for each study. After that, the combined OR3 for the best-selected model is calculated.OR1 mod and OR2 mod are computed based on the total OR3.Data analyses for the Four-gene model strategy were as follows:

Table 4: Four gene model summary analysis

First	Secon	Third	step			Fourth step				
step	d step	OR3				OR1n	10d, OR	2mod		
(OR1, OR2)		Re	Do	Ov	Cod	Re	Do	Ov	Cod	
(1.62,	OV	0.90	1.61	0.76	1.58	(1,	(1.6	(0.76,	(0.76,	
0.90)		(0.72-	(1.28-	(0.62	(1.15-	0.90)	1, 1)	1.31)	0.76)	
		1.13)	2.03)	- 0.92)	2.17)					

Re; Recessive model, Do; Dominant model, Ov; Over-dominant model, Cod; Co-dominant model

	cas	е	Contr	ol		Odds Ratio		Odds Ratio
Study or Subgroup	Events	Total	Events	Total	Weight	M-H, Random, 95% Cl	Year	M-H, Random, 95% Cl
Benessiano 1997	47	85	27	85	5.1%	2.66 [1.42, 4.97]	1997	
Tomita 1998	9	46	16	85	3.9%	1.05 [0.42, 2.60]	1998	
Gao 1998	69	197	25	73	5.3%	1.03 [0.59, 1.82]	1998	_
Gao 1998	55	119	48	119	5.6%	1.27 [0.76, 2.12]	1998	
Chagani 1999	79	179	12	36	4.5%	1.58 [0.74, 3.36]	1999	
Gao 1999	23	38	8	34	3.5%	4.98 [1.79, 13.89]	1999	
Holla 1999	53	138	29	104	5.4%	1.61 [0.93, 2.79]	1999	+
Nakaham 1999	22	72	28	124	4.9%	1.51 [0.78, 2.90]	1999	
Lee 2000	43	201	23	73	5.2%	0.59 [0.33, 1.08]	2000	
Qin 2000	18	28	5	19	2.7%	5.04 [1.40, 18.14]	2000	
Winchester 2000	6	17	134	193	3.4%	0.24 [0.08, 0.68]	2000	
Winchester 2000	0	2	42	170	0.7%	0.60 [0.03, 12.85]	2000	• • • •
Song 2001	41	63	9	27	3.7%	3.73 [1.44, 9.67]	2001	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·
LU 2004	11	15	3	8	1.6%	4.58 [0.73, 28.65]	2004	
YILDIZ 2004	15	32	13	33	3.6%	1.36 [0.51, 3.63]	2004	
Guo 2006	7	25	4	36	2.5%	3.11 [0.80, 12.09]	2006	
Lue 2006	17	57	4	46	3.0%	4.46 [1.38, 14.41]	2006	
Eryuksel 2009	39	83	18	66	4.8%	2.36 [1.18, 4.72]	2009	——•——
Lee 2009	166	480	2208	6383	6.8%	1.00 [0.82, 1.21]	2009	
Isa Abdi Rad 2011	17	48	83	175	4.9%	0.61 [0.31, 1.18]	2011	
EL SHAFAI 2011	14	26	10	22	3.1%	1.40 [0.45, 4.38]	2011	
ZHENG 2012	75	115	15	66	4.8%	6.38 [3.19, 12.73]	2012	
E bora 2013	32	88	20	80	4.9%	1.71 [0.88, 3.34]	2013	
Saba 2016	94	234	137	463	6.3%	1.60 [1.15, 2.22]	2016	
Total (95% CI)		2388		8520	100.0%	1.62 [1.24, 2.11]		◆
Total events	952		2921					
Heterogeneity: Tau ² =	0.27; Ch	i ^z = 84	40, df = 2	3 (P < 0	0.00001);	I² = 73%		
Test for overall effect:	Z= 3.53 ((P = 0.0)004)	-				U.U5 U.Z 1 5 2U
			-					Favours [experimental] Favours [control]

Figure 4: Calculation of the OR1 between the genotypes DD VS DI under the Four-gene model strategy

	case		Contr	rol		Odds Ratio		Odds Ratio
Study or Subgroup	Events	Total	Events	Total	Weight	M-H, Random, 95% Cl	Year	M-H, Random, 95% Cl
Benessiano 1997	38	53	58	73	4.0%	0.66 [0.29, 1.49]	1997	
Tomita 1998	37	62	69	126	4.9%	1.22 [0.66, 2.27]	1998	+-
Gao 1998	128	231	48	75	5.3%	0.70 [0.41, 1.20]	1998	
Gao 1998	64	95	71	102	5.0%	0.90 [0.49, 1.65]	1998	
Chagani 1999	100	152	24	31	3.6%	0.56 [0.23, 1.39]	1999	
Gao 1999	15	27	26	42	3.4%	0.77 [0.29, 2.05]	1999	
Holla 1999	85	108	75	112	4.9%	1.82 [0.99, 3.34]	1999	
Nakaham 1999	50	97	96	180	5.5%	0.93 [0.57, 1.53]	1999	
Lee 2000	158	267	50	98	5.6%	1.39 [0.87, 2.22]	2000	+
Qin 2000	10	34	14	35	3.3%	0.63 [0.23, 1.70]	2000	
Winchester 2000	11	14	193	282	2.4%	1.69 [0.46, 6.21]	2000	
Winchester 2000	2	6	128	233	1.6%	0.41 [0.07, 2.28]	2000	
Song 2001	22	67	18	47	4.2%	0.79 [0.36, 1.72]	2001	
LU 2004	4	7	5	12	1.4%	1.87 [0.28, 12.31]	2004	
YILDIZ 2004	17	27	20	33	3.1%	1.10 [0.39, 3.15]	2004	
Guo 2006	18	45	32	68	4.2%	0.75 [0.35, 1.61]	2006	
Lue 2006	40	88	42	98	5.1%	1.11 [0.62, 1.98]	2006	
Eryuksel 2009	44	58	48	78	4.3%	1.96 [0.92, 4.18]	2009	
Lee 2009	314	444	4175	6220	6.6%	1.18 [0.96, 1.46]	2009	+
Isa Abdi Rad 2011	31	45	92	129	4.3%	0.89 [0.43, 1.86]	2011	
EL SHAFAI 2011	12	16	12	20	2.1%	2.00 [0.47, 8.46]	2011	
ZHENG 2012	40	123	51	95	5.2%	0.42 [0.24, 0.72]	2012	
E bora 2013	56	70	60	79	4.1%	1.27 [0.58, 2.76]	2013	
Saba 2016	140	239	326	384	6.0%	0.25 [0.17, 0.37]	2016	
Total (95% CI)		2375		8652	100.0%	0.90 [0.70, 1.15]		•
Total events	1436		5733					
Heterogeneity: Tau² = (0.23; Chi	² = 79.1	13, df = 2	3 (P < ().00001);	I² = 71%		
Test for overall effect: Z	Z = 0.84 (P = 0.4	0)	-				0.05 0.2 1 5 20

Figure 5: Calculation of the OR2 between the genotypes DI VS II under the Four-gene model strategy



Figure 6: The log scale OR1-OR2 graph represented here is proposed by Horita and Kaneko (2015) under four gene model strategy. The OR1 (1.62) and OR2 (0.90) values is calculated between the genotypes and values is plotted on this log scale. Based on the this scale the overdominant model is selected for our study under the four gene model strategy.

Publication bias

The publication bias was evaluated using the Funnel plot and Egger's regression asymmetry (Figure 7& Table 3)



Figure 1: Funnel plot of over-dominant genetic model

DISCUSSION

It is well recognized that both genetic and environmental factors contribute to the etiology of asthma. Various studies have been conducted in recent years to identify the genes implicated in the etiology of asthma disease; the ACE is one of these genes. In order to investigate the association between ACE gene polymorphism (I/D) and asthma disease, we have conducted a meta-analysis considering 14592 subjects, of whom 3327 were cases and 11573 were controls. The meta-analyses⁴⁻⁶ conducted in this regard showed inconsistent results. In the previous meta-analyses conducted by Zhang et al. in 2011,⁴ the data pertaining to the Benessiano study²⁵ were incorrectly considered. In addition, the largest study conducted on the ACE gene polymorphism was excluded from the meta-analyses. Similar errors were also found in the meta-analyses conducted by Ding et al (2012).⁵ Many independent studies have been conducted in the later years; also, not have been considered in that meta-analysis. Consequently, the outcomes of previous meta-analyses appeared inconsistent. We considered a few more additional studies than previously conducted meta-analyses; consequently, the results appear to be more consistent. In addition to the conventional meta-analysis method, we employed the four-gene model strategy proposed by Horita and Kaneko⁷ to conduct the meta-analyses. In terms of type 1 error reduction, the four-gene model has advantages over traditional meta-analysis.

In our meta-analyses, we have found a statistically significant association of the ACE gene polymorphism (I/D) with asthma disease under the dominant gene model. Identical outcomes were observed in the co-dominant genetic paradigm. It has been observed that DD homozygotes are more susceptible to asthma than DI heterozygotes and II homozygotes. The allelic genetic model has also produced comparable results. The molecular mechanism of the ACE gene in the development of asthma is unknown. However, a conclusion can be drawn from these results. As stated previously, ACE is capable of degrading inflammatory substances such as Bradykinin and Substances p; as it can function as an antiinflammatory molecule. Consequently, DD homozygous individuals will have a defective or non-functional ACE gene due to the presence of the deletion (D) allele in their genome. This results in a lower serum ACE level and an increased level of inflammatory molecules in the lungs, which leads to bronchoconstriction.

Additionally, as stratified analyses based on race and age were conducted. In accordance with the dominant and co-dominant genetic model, Asians were more susceptible to developing asthma than Europeans. This dissimilar characteristic may be attributable to the distinct environmental conditions and genetic backgrounds of the two ethnic groups. Until now, the majority of studies have focused on Asians and Europeans; additional research on the various ethnic groups is required for a more comprehensive interpretation. From our study, age groups based

stratified analyses indicate that children have increased susceptibility to Asthma as compared to adults. Genome-wide Association Studies (GWAS) performed to identify the distinct and shared genetically linked loci for childhood-onset and adult-onset asthma appear to be marginally consistent with our findings. The most significant loci associated with asthma were identified at 17q12-21 and are highly expressed in childhood-onset asthma compared to adult-onset asthma. Our ACE gene is present at locus 17q23, but it has been shown that loci other than 17q12-21 have limited power of expressibility in the genome; consequently, loci other than 17q12-21 are unknown in the GWAS.

In addition, in order to overcome the limitations of traditional meta-analysis, we have adopted the four-gene model strategy for our meta-analyses. In conventional procedures, researchers first calculate the OR values for the various genetic models and then select the model that yields the most conclusive findings. In contrast, in the four-gene model strategy, OR1 and OR2 values are calculated for the genotypes and then depicted on the log OR1-OR2 scale, which aids in determining the optimal model for the meta-analyses, and then OR3 is calculated for that model. This strategy is primarily considered to prevent selection biases in genetic models for meta-analyses. In our study, a log scale depiction of

OR1 and OR2 values indicated that the over-dominant model was the optimal model for our meta-analyses. In contrast to the models (dominant and co-dominant) that were chosen using the conventional meta-analysis technique, no statistically significant association was observed in the over-dominant model. This indicates that the DI heterozygotes are less likely to develop asthma than the DD homozygotes. It may be due to the presence of an insertion allele (I) in the genome of DI heterozygotes, which maintains the normal serum ACE level in the lungs and, consequently, lower levels of inflammatory molecules, thereby decreasing asthma disease susceptibility.

CONCLUSION

In conclusion, a meta-analysis to assess the association between the ACE gene polymorphism (I/D) and the risk of asthma have been performed. In addition, we compared the conventional metaanalysis strategy with the four-gene model approach. In accordance with previously conducted meta-analyses, conventional metaanalyses have concluded that ACE gene polymorphism is substantially associated with asthma conditions. Our ethnicity- and age-based subgroup analyses reveal that Asian populations are more susceptible to asthmatic conditions than European descendants, and that toddlers have a higher risk of asthma than adults. Nevertheless, outcome-based four-gene model strategies revealed a negative relationship between ACE gene polymorphism (I/D) and asthma risk. Therefore, we propose that additional research is required to validate our findings. Additionally, we suggest that in the future, more research should be conducted on various ethnic groups. So that we may have a comprehensive understanding of the association between asthma and ACE gene polymorphism.

ACKNOWLEDGMENTS

Authos acknowldege the support from the Centre for Medical Biotechnology, Dayanand University, Rohtak, Haryana, India and Department of Life Sciences, Sharda University, Greater Noida, Uttar Pradesh, India.

CONFLICT OF INTEREST STATEMENT

There is no Conflict of Interest for publication of this work.

REFERENCES AND NOTES

- S. Gupta, A.S. Grewal, G. Deswal, et al. In silico docking studies of Yucca gloriosa L. phytoconstituents with TNF-α, IL-6 and IL-13 receptor against Asthma. *Chem. Biol. Lett.* **2023**, 10 (1).
- E.C. Winchester, I.Y. Millwood, L. Rand, M.A. Penny, A.M. Kessling. Association of the TNF-α-308 (G→A) polymorphism with self-reported history of childhood asthma. *Hum. Genet.* 2000, 107 (6), 591–596.
- E.G. Erdős, H.Y.T. Yang. An enzyme in microsomal fraction of kidney that inactivates bradykinin. *Life Sci.* 1967, 6 (6), 569–574.
- Y.G. Zhang, X.B. Li, J. Zhang, et al. The I/D polymorphism of angiotensinconverting enzyme gene and asthma risk: A meta-analysis. *Allergy Eur. J. Allergy Clin. Immunol.* 2011, 66 (2), 197–205.
- Q.L. Ding, S.F. Sun, C. Cao, Z.C. Deng. Association between angiotensinconverting enzyme I/D polymorphism and asthma risk: A meta-analysis involving 11,897 subjects. J. Asthma 2012, 49 (6), 557–562.
- Z. Shao, H. Jin, H. Sun, et al. Angiotensin-converting enzyme insertion/deletion polymorphism and susceptibility to pediatric asthma: A meta-analysis. *JRAAS - J. Renin-Angiotensin-Aldosterone Syst.* 2020, 21 (2).

- 7. N. Horita, T. Kaneko. Genetic model selection for a case-control study and a meta-analysis. *Meta Gene* **2015**, 5, 1–8.
- Y.B. Guo, Y. Lu, H.W. Cai, et al. Genetic polymorphism of angiotensin converting enzyme (ACE) gene in kidney-deficiency asthma from Guangdong population. *Zhong Guo You Sheng Yu Yi Chuan Za Zhi* 2006, 14 (8), 20–22.
- 9. H. Lu, L. Li. Polymorphism of angiotensin-converting enzyme gene and susceptibility to patients of asthma in Tianjin. **2004**, 33 (1), 1016–1017.
- L. Song, C. Quan, L. Peng, W. Fu. Correlation of asthma and polymorphism of angiotensin-converting enzyme gene with insertion or deletion in 108 chinese northen children with asthma. *Chinese Med. Sci.* 2001, 19 (1), 364– 365.
- J. Gao, Y. Lin, Y. Xiao, et al. Polymorphism of angiotensin-converting enzyme gene and genetic susceptibility to asthma with familial aggregation. *Chin. Med. Sci. J.* 2000, 15 (1), 24–28.
- J. Qin, L. Wang. DD genotype of angiotensin-converting enzymemay be a risk factor for development of asthma in children. 2000, 38 (1), 487–489.
- K.H. Lue, M.S. Ku, C. Li, et al. ACE gene polymorphism might disclose why some Taiwanese children with allergic rhinitis develop asthma symptoms but others do not. *Pediatr. Allergy Immunol.* 2006, 17 (7), 508– 513.
- Y.C. Lee, K.T. Cheon, H.B. Lee, et al. Gene polymorphisms of endothelial nitric oxide synthase and angiotensin-converting enzyme in patients with asthma. *Allergy Eur. J. Allergy Clin. Immunol.* 2000, 55 (10), 959–963.
- E. Eryuksel, B.B. Ceyhan, R. Bircan, M. Avşar, B. Çirakoğlu. Angiotensin converting enzyme gene polymorphism in turkish asthmatic patients. *J. Asthma* 2009, 46 (4), 335–338.
- H. Nakahama, K. Obata, T. Nakajima, et al. Renin-angiotensin system component gene polymorphism in Japanese bronchial asthma patients. J. Asthma 1999, 36 (2), 187–193.
- H. Tomita, S. Sato, R. Matsuda, et al. Genetic polymorphism of the angiotensin-converting enzyme (ACE) in asthmatic patients. *Respir. Med.* 1998, 92 (12), 1305–1310.
- P.S. Gao, X.Q. Mao, M. Kawai, et al. Lack of association between ACE gene polymorphisms and atopy and asthma in British and Japanese populations [2]. *Clin. Genet.* **1998**, 54 (3), 245–247.
- I.A. Rad, M. Bagheri, M.H. Rahimi-Rad. Lucrâri originale deletion allele of the ACE gene is not a risk factor for asthma predisposition. *Pneumologia* 2011, 60 (4), 208–212.
- M.S. El-Shafei, M.N. Farres, R.Y. Shahin. Evaluation of angiotensin converting enzyme gene polymorphism and susceptibility to bronchial asthma among Egyptians. *Allergol. Immunopathol. (Madr).* 2012, 40 (5), 275–280.
- E. Bora, R. Soylar, Z. Arikan-Ayyildiz, et al. Plasminogen activator inhibitor-1 and angiotensin converting enzyme gene polymorphisms in Turkish asthmatic children. *Allergol. Immunopathol. (Madr).* 2013, 41 (1), 11–16.
- 22. N. Saba, O. Yusuf, S. Rehman, et al. An angiotensin I-converting enzyme insertion/deletion polymorphism is associated with Pakistani asthmatic cases and controls. *J. Biosci.* **2016**, 41 (3), 439–444.
- B.Q. Zheng, G.L. Wang, S. Yang, et al. Study of genetic susceptibility in 198 children with asthma. *Chinese J. Contemp. Pediatr.* 2012, 14 (11), 811– 814.
- 24. T. Chagani, P.D. Paré, S. Zhu, et al. Prevalence of tumor necrosis factor-α and angiotensin converting enzyme polymorphisms in mild/moderate and fatal/near-fatal asthma. *Am. J. Respir. Crit. Care Med.* **1999**, 160 (1), 278– 282.
- J. Benessiano, B. Crestani, F. Mestari, et al. High frequency of a deletion polymorphism of the angiotensin-converting enzyme gene in asthma. J. Allergy Clin. Immunol. 1997, 99 (1 I), 53–57.
- L. Hollá, A. Vasků, V. Znojil, L. Šišková, J. Vácha. Association of 3 gene polymorphisms with atopic diseases. *J. Allergy Clin. Immunol.* **1999**, 103 (4), 702–708.
- P. Yildiz, H. Oflaz, N. Cine, et al. Endothelial Dysfunction in Patients with Asthma: The Role of Polymorphisms of ACE and Endothelial NOS Genes. *J. Asthma* 2004, 41 (2), 159–166.

Journal of Integrated Science and Technology

- J. Lee, B.G. Nordestgaard, M. Dahl. Elevated ACE activity is not associated with asthma, COPD, and COPD co-morbidity. *Respir. Med.* 2009, 103 (9), 1286–1292.
- M. Egger, G.D. Smith, M. Schneider, C. Minder. Bias in meta-analysis detected by a simple, graphical test. Br. Med. J. 1997, 315 (7109), 629–634.
- T.H. Kim, H.S. Chang, S.M. Park, et al. Association of angiotensin Iconverting enzyme gene polymorphisms with aspirin intolerance in asthmatics. *Clin. Exp. Allergy* **2008**, 38 (11), 1727–1737.
- Y. Kawakami, M. Munakata, E. Yamaguchi, K. Furuya, T. Matsuda. Molecular studies of bronchial asthma, sarcoidosis and angiotensin converting enzyme inhibitor-induced cough. *Respirology* **1998**, 3 (1), 45– 49.
- 32. S. Guo, J. Zhang, Y.D. Yan, Y.F. Ding, J.Y. Sheng. Association between renin-angiotensin system gene polymorphism and recurrent wheezing in Chinese children: A 4-year follow-up study. *J. Int. Med. Res.* 2009, 37 (2), 351–358.
- M. Urhan, I. Degirmenci, E. Harmanci, et al. High frequency of DD polymorphism of the angiotensin-converting enzyme gene in Turkish asthmatic patients. *Allergy Asthma Proc.* 2004, 25 (4), 243–247.
- 34. J. Kim, S.K. Jung, J. Ra, et al. An angiotensin i converting enzyme polymorphism is associated with clinical phenotype when using differentiation-syndrome to categorize Korean bronchial asthma patients. *Evidence-based Complement. Altern. Med.* **2011**, 2011.